Message

From: Jones, Connie [Jones.Constance@epa.gov]

Sent: 2/10/2021 8:05:18 PM

To: Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]; Whitley, Charlotte [whitley.charlotte@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: EPA Administrator Decision for Focused Feasibility Dispute

Please disregard the message.

From: Jones, Connie

Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 3:00 PM

To: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>; Whitley, Charlotte <whitley.charlotte@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EPA Administrator Decision for Focused Feasibility Dispute

Cathy, part of this is the discharge limits have not been established. So, I think the SNC may still apply. Part of that decision is on the EMWMF portion of the FFS. The 4th FYR demonstrated non-compliance due to exceedances. T

So, until we agree to the discharges, DOE has not meet the compliance issue. What are your thought, since DOE is still discharging waste water according to DOE Orders?

From: Amoroso, Cathy Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 2:56 PM To: Whitley, Charlotte Cc: Jones, Connie < Jones.Constance@epa.gov

Subject: RE: EPA Administrator Decision for Focused Feasibility Dispute

No. Not in SNC is still the correct status.

For Oak Ridge, the administrator issued a decision on a formal dispute (12/31/20 and 1/19/21). The DOE is now setting out to implement the decision. DOE is not in "substantial non-compliance" – I guess if they fail to comply with the decision they may get to SNC, but at this point, no, the are not in SNC.

From: Whitley, Charlotte <whitley.charlotte@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 2:50 PM **To:** Amoroso, Cathy Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: EPA Administrator Decision for Focused Feasibility Dispute

Cathy – would the info below change the comliace status for Oak Ridge to something other than "Not in SNC"?

Charlotte Whitley

IMC/BC USEPA Region 4 404-562-8863

From: Jones, Connie <<u>lones.Constance@epa.gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Whitley, Charlotte <whitley.charlotte@epa.gov>

Subject: EPA Administrator Decision for Focused Feasibility Dispute

Charlotte, FYI.

I just noticed that the formal dispute (initiation) is not in SEMS. I have the decision + the separate ARARs that need to be added. I will try to add under OU 00. I hope I don't have issues since these are two separate documents. I will try to combine before adding to SEMS.

Thanks

Constance A. Jones
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 4
61 Forsyth Street
Superfund & Emergency Management Division
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Email: Jones.Constance@epa.gov

Phone: 404-562-8551